

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,117	03/02/2004	Osamu Saito	1785.1008	1130
21171 7590 01/24/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		7	EXAMINER DAVIS, ROBERT B	
		is a second of the second of t	DAVIS, ROBERT D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	
			1	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,117	SAITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert B. Davis	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 October 2006</u> .					
<u> </u>	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 4) Notice of References Cited (DTO 800)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/790,117

Art Unit: 1722

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiguchi et al (EP 487740 A1: figure 1; page 3, line 8 to page 4, line 18 and page 5, lines 16-37) taken together with Osbourne et al (6,272,398: figure 1 and column 5, line 1 to column 6, line 59).

Kamiguchi et al disclose a monitor unit (1) connected to a plurality of molding machines (A_i) and a printer (2). The monitor unit allows an operator or an operation program to trigger printing of molding information via the printer. The reference does not disclose the use of a network. The reference discloses storage on the monitor unit.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,117

Art Unit: 1722

Osbourne et al disclose the use of a control system to operate a molding machine comprising: a molding machine connected to a network, a controller computer connected to the network for controlling the molding machine and a second computer connected to the network to allow for operator control of process variables. The reference clearly teaches use of a second computer connected via a network to control a molding machine.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Kamiguchi et al by connecting the molding machine, a second computer and the printer to a network as disclosed by Osborne et al for the purpose of allowing the operator/controller to change process parameters and print molding information from a location remote from the molding machine and the associated controller computer.

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamiguchi et al taken together with Osbourne et al as applied to claims 1-13 above, and further in view of Shiohara (6,822,754: figures 1-2 and column 4, lines 1-55).

The combination of Kamiguchi et al and Osbourne et al discloses all claimed features except for a plurality of printers.

Shiohara discloses a plurality of printers connected to a network wherein selection of a printer based on pending print jobs is made by a selection device.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of the previous combination to provide a plurality of printers and a means to select from the plurality of printers as disclosed by Shiohara for Application/Control Number: 10/790,117 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

the purpose of having the flexibility of multiple printers to ensure timely printing of information.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert B. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1722

1/22/07